

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/707,450	ANDO, YOSHIYUKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Craig Miller	2857	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Craig Miller.

(3) _____.

(2) Richard D. Fuerle.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 April 2005

Time: 18:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
rejoined non-elected claims 33+

Prior art documents discussed:
none



Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner noted that now rejoined claims 33-36 lack patentably distinct features of claim 1, Applicant suggested including same into 33 and authorized an examiner's amendment making 33-36 dependent upon allowed claim 1.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)